California Department of Food & Agriculture

Gray Davis, Governor William (Bill) J. Lyons, Jr., Secretary



Dairy Marketing Branch Room A-224 1220 N Street Sacramento, CA 95814 (916) 654-1456 (916) 654-0867 Fax dairy@cdfa.ca.gov

OCTOBER 17, 2001

TO ALL INTERESTED PARTIES:

Enclosed are copies of the latest nonfat powder, bulk butter and Cheddar cheese processing costs for selected periods between January 1998 and December 2000. The cost studies have been adjusted for utility costs through August 2001. The processing cost data does not include the cost of raw product nor does it include any cost associated with marketing finished products.

For each of the three manufactured products, the cost data are presented in three tables. The first table shows actual weighted-average cost of plants grouped by efficiency. The second table shows "theoretical cost" where each cost factor is ranked from the lowest cost of any plant to the highest cost. For example, for "Efficiency Ranking 1" the costs shown are the lowest cost by category observed at any plant. The "Total Operating Cost" figure shows what a "theoretical" plant's cost would be if that plant was the most efficient in each cost factor. The third table details natural gas and electricity costs for March 2001 and August 2001.

Also enclosed is a summary table showing the weighted-average manufacturing cost for nonfat powder, butter and Cheddar cheese as published since May 1989. Cost include packaging, processing labor, processing non-labor, general and administrative cost, return on investment and, for butter and Cheddar cheese, miscellaneous ingredients.

Should you have any questions regarding this material, please contact Tom Gossard, Eric Erba or me at the telephone number or e-mail address above.

Sincerely,

Edward Hunter Supervising Auditor I

Enclosures

Visit our Website at www.cdfa.ca.gov/dairy

Weighted Average Manufacturing Costs for Butter, Nonfat Dry Milk and Cheddar Cheese 1989 - 2001

Costs include packaging, processing labor, non-labor processing, general and administrative, return on investments and miscellaneous ingredients (for butter and Cheddar Cheese only). Natural gas and electricity costs, which are included in processing non-labor expenses, are summarized separately.

		<u>Bu</u>	<u>tter</u>	Nonfat I	Dry Milk	Cheddai	: Cheese ¹
Date o	f Release	Cost per	Number	Cost per	Number	Cost per	Number
Year	<u>Month</u>	Pound	of Plants	Pound	of Plants	Pound	of Plants
1989	May	\$0.0879	11	\$0.1370	11	\$0.2251	9
1990	June	\$0.0888	11	\$0.1398	11	\$0.2324	9
1991	May	\$0.0883	10	\$0.1438	11	\$0.2192	9
1992	July	\$0.0969	12	\$0.1443	12	\$0.2010	9
1993	August	\$0.0936	12	\$0.1430	11	\$0.1868	10
1994	September	\$0.0895	11	\$0.1341	11	\$0.1889	8
1995	April	\$0.0889	9	\$0.1327	9	\$0.1862	8
1995	November	\$0.0928	9	\$0.1328	9	\$0.1981	8
1996	December	\$0.0970	9	\$0.1333	9	\$0.1898	8
1997	July	\$0.0958	8	\$0.1327	9	\$0.1840	9
1999	February	\$0.0930	8	\$0.1277	9	\$0.1759	10
2000	February	\$0.0957	8	\$0.1356	10	\$0.1693	9
2001	January ²	\$0.0979	8	\$0.1463	11	\$0.1753	9
2001	May^3	\$0.1004	8	\$0.1607	11	\$0.1795	9
2001	October ⁴	\$0.1001	8	\$0.1590	11	\$0.1802	9

¹ For the 1996 Cheddar cheese cost study and subsequent cost studies, we have included costs associated with Cheddar cheese plants producing 500 pound barrels and 640 pound blocks. However, costs for packaging labor and packaging expenses were replaced with the average of those costs associated with 40 pound block plants.

² Includes the cost studies completed for periods between January 1998 and December 1999 and adjusted for utility costs. The utility cost adjustments were made using each plant's invoices for energy costs for October 2000.

³ Updated January 2001 Exhibit using each plant's invoices for energy costs for March 2001

⁴ Includes the most current completed cost studies as well as utility cost adjustments for all plants. The utility cost adjustments were made using each plant's invoices for energy costs for August 2001.

BUTTER PROCESSING COSTS

FOR SELECTED PERIODS, CALIFORNIA, JANUARY 1998 TO DECEMBER 1999 1/ QUANTITY WEIGHTED AVERAGE PROCESSING COSTS 2/

PLANT GROUPS RANKED BY LOWEST COST 3/	NO. OF PLANTS IN GROUP	MISC. INGREDIENT	PACKAGE		CESSING NONLABOR	GEN & ADMIN	TOTAL OPERATING COSTS	VOLUME COVERED 4/5/	RETURN ON INVEST	TOTAL COST	VOLUME PROCESSED 5/6/
					(\$/lb.)			(percent)		-(\$/lb.)	- (lbs.)
GROUP 1	4	0.0021	0.0090	0.0264	0.0413	0.0054	0.0842	57.4%	0.0067	0.0909	247,161,355
GROUP 2	4	0.0024	0.0080	0.0503	0.0484	0.0133	0.1224	97.7%	0.0062	0.1287	80,446,903
STATISTICS FOR	8 PLANTS										327,608,258
SIMPLE AVERA	AGE	0.0023	0.0084	0.0461	0.0509	0.0117	0.1194	97.7%	0.0069	0.1263	_
WEIGHTED AVE	RAGE 2/	0.0022	0.0087	0.0323	0.0431	0.0073	0.0936	57.4%	0.0066	0.1001	
MEDIAN		0.0021	0.0080	0.0395	0.0480	0.0075	0.1050	75.4%	0.0066	0.1116	

^{1/} COSTS REFLECT SELECTED ANNUAL PERIODS FROM JANUARY 1998 TO DECEMBER 1999 AND ADJUSTED FOR NATURAL GAS AND ELECTRICITY FOR AUGUST 2001.

DAIRY MARKETING BRANCH, CDFA

OCTOBER 2001

(BTR01OCT)

^{2/} WEIGHTED BY POUNDS OF PRODUCT PROCESSED BY EACH PLANT.

^{3/} PLANTS HAVE BEEN GROUPED ON THE BASIS OF PROGRESSIVELY INCREASING PROCESSING COSTS WITH THE FIRST GROUP BEING THE LOWEST COST PLANTS.

^{4/} THE VOLUME COVERED IS THE CUMULATIVE VOLUME OF ALL PLANTS WHOSE ACTUAL COSTS ARE LESS THAN OR EQUAL TO THE LISTED AVERAGE COST.

^{5/} INCLUDES BOTH BLOCK AND CUT BUTTER FOR VOLUME. COSTS ARE FOR 25 KG. AND 68 LB. BLOCKS OF BUTTER ONLY.

^{6/} THESE EIGHT PLANTS PROCESSED 99.9% OF THE BUTTER IN CALIFORNIA IN 1999.

THEORETICAL BUTTER PROCESSING COSTS

FOR SELECTED PERIODS, CALIFORNIA, JANUARY 1998 TO DECEMBER 1999 1/

I	EFFICIENCY RANKING	MISC. INGREDIENT	PACKAGING	PROC LABOR	ESSING NONLABOR	GEN & ADMIN	TOTAL OPERATING COSTS	VOLUME COVERED 3/4/5/	RETURN ON INVEST	TOTAL COST
					(\$/lb.)			(percent)	(\$	/lb.)
	1	0.0015	0.0078	0.0203	0.0322	0.0035	0.0653	0.0%	0.0036	0.0689
	2	0.0016	0.0078	0.0253	0.0403	0.0054	0.0804	57.4%	0.0041	0.0845
	3	0.0016	0.0079	0.0326	0.0426	0.0055	0.0902	57.4%	0.0049	0.0951
	4	0.0017	0.0079	0.0380	0.0474	0.0061	0.1011	57.4%	0.0065	0.1076
	5	0.0024	0.0080	0.0409	0.0486	0.0089	0.1088	90.3%	0.0067	0.1155
	6	0.0026	0.0093	0.0493	0.0502	0.0103	0.1217	97.7%	0.0083	0.1300
	7	0.0028	0.0093	0.0494	0.0592	0.0120	0.1327	97.7%	0.0092	0.1419
	8	0.0040	0.0094	0.1130	0.0867	0.0418	0.2549	100.0%	0.0120	0.2669
STATISTICS FO	OR 8 PLANTS									
SIMPLE AVER	RAGE	0.0023	0.0084	0.0461	0.0509	0.0117	0.1194	97.7%	0.0069	0.1263
WEIGHTED A	VERAGE 2/	0.0022	0.0087	0.0323	0.0431	0.0073	0.0936	57.4%	0.0066	0.1001
MEDIAN		0.0021	0.0080	0.0395	0.0480	0.0075	0.1050	75.4%	0.0066	0.1116

^{1/} COSTS REFLECT SELECTED ANNUAL PERIODS FROM JANUARY 1998 TO DECEMBER 1999 AND ADJUSTED FOR NATURAL GAS AND ELECTRICITY FOR AUGUST 2001. TABLE CONSTRUCTED BY RANKING ACTUAL COSTS IN EACH CATEGORY FROM LOWEST TO HIGHEST.

DAIRY MARKETING BRANCH, CDFA

OCTOBER 2001

(BTR01OCT)

^{2/} WEIGHTED BY POUNDS OF PRODUCT PROCESSED BY EACH PLANT.

^{3/} THE VOLUME COVERED IS THE CUMULATIVE VOLUME OF ALL PLANTS WHOSE ACTUAL COSTS ARE LESS THAN OR EQUAL TO THE LISTED AVERAGE COST.

^{4/} INCLUDES BOTH BLOCK AND CUT BUTTER FOR VOLUME. COSTS ARE FOR 25 KG. AND 68 LB. BLOCKS OF BUTTER ONLY.

^{5/} THESE EIGHT PLANTS PROCESSED 99.5% OF THE BUTTER IN CALIFORNIA IN 1999.

NONFAT POWDER PROCESSING COSTS

FOR SELECTED PERIODS, CALIFORNIA, JANUARY 1998 TO JUNE 2000 1/ QUANTITY WEIGHTED AVERAGE PROCESSING COSTS 2/

PLANT GROUPS RANKED BY LOWEST COST 3/	NO. OF PLANTS IN GROUP	PACKAGE	_	CESSING NONLABOR	GEN & ADMIN	TOTAL OPERATING COSTS	VOLUME COVERED 4/5/	RETURN ON INVEST	TOTAL COST	VOLUME PROCESSED 5/6/	
					(\$/lb.)		(percent)	(\$/lb.)		(lbs.)	
GROUP 1	3	0.0114	0.0240	0.0911	0.0052	0.1317	22.5%	0.0251	0.1568	224,477,396	
GROUP 2	4	0.0109	0.0332	0.0901	0.0082	0.1424	70.0%	0.0090	0.1513	308,634,663	
GROUP 3	4	0.0124	0.0662	0.1238	0.0135	0.2159	99.1%	0.0090	0.2249	43,693,146	
									-	576,805,205	
STATISTICS FOR	11 PLANTS	i									
SIMPLE AVERA	(GE	0.0120	0.0484	0.1032	0.0112	0.1748	94.6%	0.0131	0.1880		
WEIGHTED AV	ERAGE 2/	0.0112	0.0321	0.0930	0.0074	0.1438	70.0%	0.0153	0.1590		
 MEDIAN		0.0118	0.0403	0.0903	0.0080	0.1504	92.4%	0.0098	0.1602		

^{1/} COSTS REFLECT SELECTED ANNUAL PERIODS FROM JANUARY 1998 TO JUNE 2000 AND ADJUSTED FOR NATURAL GAS AND ELECTRICITY FOR AUGUST 2001.

DAIRY MARKETING BRANCH, CDFA

OCTOBER 2001

(PWD010CT)

^{2/} WEIGHTED BY POUNDS OF PRODUCT PROCESSED BY EACH PLANT.

^{3/} PLANTS HAVE BEEN GROUPED ON THE BASIS OF PROGRESSIVELY INCREASING PROCESSING COSTS WITH THE FIRST GROUP BEING THE LOWEST COST PLANTS.

^{4/} THE VOLUME COVERED IS THE CUMULATIVE VOLUME OF ALL PLANTS WHOSE ACTUAL COSTS ARE LESS THAN OR EQUAL TO THE LISTED AVERAGE COST.

^{5/} INCLUDES ALL GRADES OF NONFAT DRY MILK IN ALL SIZE CONTAINERS FOR VOLUME. COSTS ARE FOR 25 KG. AND 50 LB. BAGS OF NFDM ONLY.

^{6/} THESE ELEVEN PLANTS PROCESSED 100% OF THE NONFAT DRY MILK IN CALIFORNIA IN 1999.

THEORETICAL NONFAT POWDER PROCESSING COSTS

FOR SELECTED PERIODS, CALIFORNIA, JANUARY 1998 TO JUNE 2000 1/

EFFICIENCY	PACKAGE		CESSING	GEN &	TOTAL OPERATING		RETURN ON	TOTAL COST
RANKING		LABOR	NONLABOR	ADMIN	COSTS	3/ 4/ 5/	INVESTMENT	
				(\$/lb.)		(percent)	(\$/lb	.)
1	0.0087	0.0228	0.0668	0.0047	0.1030	0.0%	0.0044	0.1074
2	0.0099	0.0238	0.0706	0.0047	0.1090	0.0%	0.0065	0.1155
3	0.0104	0.0297	0.0807	0.0056	0.1264	4.0%	0.0088	0.1352
4	0.0108	0.0300	0.0833	0.0060	0.1301	22.5%	0.0089	0.1390
5	0.0116	0.0335	0.0900	0.0079	0.1430	70.0%	0.0096	0.1526
6	0.0118	0.0403	0.0903	0.0080	0.1504	92.4%	0.0098	0.1602
7	0.0119	0.0443	0.0928	0.0090	0.1580	92.4%	0.0119	0.1699
8	0.0122	0.0625	0.0970	0.0101	0.1818	94.6%	0.0155	0.1973
9	0.0135	0.0645	0.0980	0.0139	0.1899	94.6%	0.0162	0.2061
10	0.0146	0.0667	0.1134	0.0153	0.2100	99.1%	0.0241	0.2341
11	0.0169	0.1144	0.2526	0.0376	0.4215	100.0%	0.0289	0.4504
STATISTICS FOR 11 PLANTS	S							
SIMPLE AVERAGE	0.0120	0.0484	0.1032	0.0112	0.1748	94.6%	0.0131	0.1880
WEIGHTED AVERAGE 2/	0.0112	0.0321	0.0930	0.0074	0.1438	70.0%	0.0153	0.1590
MEDIAN	0.0118	0.0403	0.0903	0.0080	0.1504	92.4%	0.0098	0.1602

^{1/} COSTS REFLECT ANNUAL PERIODS FROM JANUARY 1998 TO JUNE 2000 AND ADJUSTED FOR NATURAL GAS AND ELECTRICITY FOR AUGUST 2001. TABLE CONSTRUCTED BY RANKING ACTUAL COSTS IN EACH CATEGORY FROM LOWEST TO HIGHEST.

DAIRY MARKETING BRANCH, CDFA

OCTOBER 2001

(PWD01OCT)

^{2/} WEIGHTED BY POUNDS OF PRODUCT PROCESSED BY EACH PLANT.

^{3/} THE VOLUME COVERED IS THE CUMULATIVE VOLUME OF ALL PLANTS WHOSE ACTUAL COSTS ARE LESS THAN OR EQUAL TO THE LISTED AVERAGE COST.

^{4/} INCLUDES ALL GRADES OF NONFAT DRY MILK IN ALL SIZE CONTAINERS FOR VOLUME. COSTS ARE FOR 25 KG. AND 50 LB. BAGS OF NFDM ONLY.

^{5/} THESE ELEVEN PLANTS PROCESSED 100% OF THE NONFAT DRY MILK IN CALIFORNIA IN 1999.

CHEDDAR CHEESE PROCESSING COSTS

FOR SELECTED PERIODS, CALIFORNIA, JANUARY 1999 TO DECEMBER 2000 1/ QUANTITY WEIGHTED AVERAGE PROCESSING COSTS 2/

PLANT GROUPS RANKED BY LOWEST COST 3/	NO. OF PLANTS IN GROUP	MISC. INGREDIENT	PACKAGE		ESSING NONLABOR	GEN'L & ADMIN.	TOTAL OPERATING COSTS	VOLUME COVERED 4/5/	RETURN ON INVESTMENT	TOTAL COST	VOLUME PROCESSED 5/6/	FINISHED MOISTURE	VAT FAT	VAT SNF	VAT YIELD
						(\$/lb.)		(percent)	(\$/lb.)	(lbs.)	(percent) -		(lbs/cwt)
GROUP 1	3	0.0121	0.0170	0.0349	0.0638	0.0194	0.1472	29.5%	0.0139	0.1611	325,954,498	36.44	3.99	8.93	10.91
GROUP 2	3	0.0124	0.0165	0.0502	0.0929	0.0115	0.1834	68.4%	0.0111	0.1945	223,490,274	38.07	3.85	8.92	10.34
GROUP 3	3	0.0110	0.0253	0.0779	0.0731	0.0247	0.2119	92.3%	0.0109	0.2229	70,600,192	37.98	3.89	8.83	10.74
STATISTICS FOR	9 PI ANTS										620,044,964				
SIMPLE AVERA	AGE	0.0133	0.0204	0.0557	0.0740	0.0198	0.1832	68.4%		0.1943		37.32	3.94	8.93	10.84
WEIGHTED AVE	:RAGE 2/	0.0121	0.0178	0.0453	0.0753	0.0172	0.1676	52.6%	0.0125	0.1802		37.20	3.93	8.91	10.69
MEDIAN		0.0116	0.0185	0.0523	0.0692	0.0196	0.1712	65.2%	0.0135	0.1847		37.86	3.83	8.85	10.59

^{1/} COSTS REFLECT SELECTED ANNUAL PERIODS FROM JANUARY 1999 TO JULY 2000 AND ADJUSTED FOR NATURAL GAS AND ELECTRICITY FOR AUGUST 2001.

DAIRY MARKETING BRANCH, CDFA

OCTOBER 2001

(CHS01OCT)

^{2/} WEIGHTED BY POUNDS OF PRODUCT PROCESSED BY EACH PLANT.

^{3/} PLANTS HAVE BEEN GROUPED ON THE BASIS OF PROGRESSIVELY INCREASING PROCESSING COSTS WITH THE FIRST GROUP BEING THE LOWEST COST PLANTS.

^{4/} THE VOLUME COVERED IS THE CUMULATIVE VOLUME OF ALL PLANTS WHOSE ACTUAL COSTS ARE LESS THAN OR EQUAL TO THE LISTED AVERAGE COST.

^{5/} INCLUDES BOTH CHEDDAR AND MONTEREY JACK FOR VOLUME. COSTS, MOISTURE, FAT, SNF AND YIELDS ARE FOR CHEDDAR ONLY.

FOR THE THREE PLANTS PROCESSING BARRELS OR 640 LB. BLOCKS, PACKAGING LABOR AND PACKAGING CONTAINERS REFLECT COSTS FROM THE 40-LB BLOCK PLANTS.

^{6/} THESE NINE PLANTS PROCESSED 92.9% OF THE CHEDDAR AND MONTEREY JACK CHEESE IN CALIFORNIA IN 1999.

THEORETICAL CHEDDAR CHEESE PROCESSING COSTS

FOR SELECTED PERIODS, CALIFORNIA, JANUARY 1999 TO DECEMBER 2000 1/

EFFICIENCY RANKING	MISC. INGREDIENT	PACKAGE		CESSING NONLABOR	GEN'L & ADMIN	TOTAL OPERATING COSTS	VOLUME COVERED 3/4/5/	RETURN ON INVESTMENT	TOTAL COST	FINISHED MOISTURE	VAT FAT	VAT SNF	VAT YIELD
					(\$/lb.)		(percent)	(\$/lb.)		((percent)		·(lbs/cwt)
1	0.0077	0.0145	0.0344	0.0501	0.0058	0.1125	0.0%	0.0043	0.1168	38.40	4.58	9.29	12.69
2	0.0087	0.0169	0.0346	0.0544	0.0179	0.1325	0.0%	0.0057	0.1382	38.38	4.02	9.27	11.28
3	0.0107	0.0169	0.0371	0.0631	0.0194	0.1472	29.5%	0.0068	0.1540	38.34	4.02	8.95	11.08
4	0.0109	0.0177	0.0450	0.0635	0.0194	0.1565	45.6%	0.0081	0.1646	38.20	3.93	8.95	10.87
5	0.0116	0.0185	0.0523	0.0692	0.0196	0.1712	65.2%	0.0135	0.1847	37.86	3.83	8.85	10.59
6	0.0119	0.0200	0.0570	0.0720	0.0217	0.1826	68.4%	0.0149	0.1975	37.72	3.81	8.81	10.52
7	0.0121	0.0212	0.0761	0.0795	0.0222	0.2111	92.3%	0.0149	0.2260	37.50	3.80	8.80	10.40
8	0.0152	0.0223	0.0769	0.1039	0.0256	0.2439	98.6%	0.0151	0.2590	34.98	3.79	8.73	10.24
9	0.0312	0.0354	0.0882	0.1106	0.0262	0.2916	100.0%	0.0167	0.3083	34.46	3.72	8.71	9.89
STATISTICS FOR 9 PLANTS	;												
SIMPLE AVERAGE	0.0133	0.0204	0.0557	0.0740	0.0198	0.1832	68.4%	0.0111	0.1943	37.32	3.94	8.93	10.84
WEIGHTED AVERAGE 2/	0.0121	0.0178	0.0453		0.0172	0.1676	52.6%		0.1802	37.20	3.93	8.91	10.69
MEDIAN	0.0116	0.0185	0.0523	0.0692	0.0196	0.1712	65.2%	0.0135	0.1847	37.86	3.83	8.85	10.59

^{1/} COSTS REFLECT SELECTED ANNUAL PERIODS FROM JANUARY 1999 TO JULY 2000 AND ADJUSTED FOR NATURAL GAS AND ELECTRICITY FOR AUGUST 2001.
TABLE CONSTRUCTED BY RANKING ACTUAL COSTS IN EACH CATEGORY FROM LOWEST TO HIGHEST. CHEESE VAT DATA WAS RANKED FROM HIGHEST TO LOWEST.

5/ THESE NINE PLANTS PROCESSED 92.9% OF THE CHEDDAR AND MONTEREY JACK CHEESE IN CALIFORNIA IN 1999.

DAIRY MARKETING BRANCH, CDFA

OCTOBER 2001

(CHS01OCT)

^{2/} WEIGHTED BY POUNDS OF PRODUCT PROCESSED BY EACH PLANT.

^{3/} THE VOLUME COVERED IS THE CUMULATIVE VOLUME OF ALL PLANTS WHOSE ACTUAL COSTS ARE LESS THAN OR EQUAL TO THE LISTED AVERAGE COST.

^{4/} INCLUDES BOTH CHEDDAR AND MONTEREY JACK FOR VOLUME. COSTS, MOISTURE, FAT, SNF AND YIELDS ARE FOR CHEDDAR ONLY.
FOR THE THREE PLANTS PROCESSING BARRELS OR 640 LB. BLOCKS, PACKAGING LABOR AND PACKAGING CONTAINERS REFLECT COSTS FROM THE 40-LB. BLOCK PLANTS

BUTTER UTILITY COSTS

FOR SELECTED PERIODS, CALIFORNIA, JANUARY 1998 TO DECEMBER 1999 NATURAL GAS & ELECTRICITY COSTS ADJUSTED FOR MARCH 2001 AND AUGUST 2001 1/

	PLANT GROUPS NO. OF RANKED BY PLANTS TOTAL COST IN		PLANT UTILITY COSTS UNADJUSTED NAT GAS ELECT		PLANT UTILITY ADJUSTED - N NAT GAS		PLANT UTILITY ADJUSTED - A NAT GAS		VOLUME PROCESSED
	2/	GROUP							3/ 4/
				(\$/lb.)	(\$/lb.)		(lbs.)
	GROUP 1	4	0.0019	0.0027	0.0064	0.0026	0.0047	0.0046	247,161,355
	GROUP 2	4	0.0007	0.0048	0.0027	0.0051	0.0021	0.0101	80,446,903
	STATISTICS FOR	8 PLANTS							327,608,258
	SIMPLE AVE	_	0.0015	0.0039	0.0052	0.0041	0.0040	0.0077	
	WEIGHTED AVER	RAGE 1/	0.0016	0.0032	0.0055	0.0032	0.0041	0.0059	
:	PERCENT OF TOTA	AL COST	1.69%	3.37%	5.57%	3.24%	4.10%	5.89%	

^{1/} NATURAL GAS AND ELECTRICITY COSTS WEIGHTED BY POUNDS OF PRODUCT PROCESSED AT EACH PLANT.

DAIRY MARKETING BRANCH, CDFA

OCTOBER 2001

(BTR-ENERGY-OCT)

^{2/} PLANTS HAVE BEEN GROUPED ON THE BASIS OF PROGRESSIVELY INCREASING TOTAL PROCESSING COSTS.

^{3/} INCLUDES BOTH BLOCK AND CUT BUTTER FOR VOLUME. COSTS ARE FOR 25 KG. AND 68 LB. BLOCKS OF BUTTER ONLY.

^{4/} THESE EIGHT PLANTS PROCESSED 99.9% OF THE BUTTER IN CALIFORNIA IN 1999.

NONFAT POWDER UTILITY COSTS

FOR SELECTED PERIODS, CALIFORNIA, JANUARY 1998 TO JUNE 2000
NATURAL GAS & ELECTRICITY COSTS ADJUSTED FOR MARCH 2001 AND AUGUST 2001 1/

	ANT GROUPS RANKED BY OTAL COST 2/	NO. OF PLANTS IN GROUP	PLANT UTILI' UNADJUS NAT GAS		PLANT UTILI ADJUSTED - NAT GAS		PLANT UTILI ADJUSTED - NAT GAS		VOLUME PROCESSED 3/4/
					(\$/lb.)		(\$/lb.)		(lbs.)
	GROUP 1	3	0.0102	0.0080	0.0399	0.0082	0.0291	0.0139	224,477,396
	GROUP 2	4	0.0125	0.0075	0.0337	0.0078	0.0276	0.0164	308,634,663
	GROUP 3	4	0.0153	0.0136	0.0603	0.0139	0.0463	0.0323	43,693,146
ST	ATISTICS FOR	11 PLANTS							576,805,205
0.	SIMPLE AVERA	(GE	0.0148	0.0095	0.0508	0.0096	0.0374	0.0178	
	WEIGHTED AVI	ERAGE 1/	0.0118	0.0082	0.0382	0.0084	0.0296	0.0166	
PE	RCENT OF TOT	AL COST	8.89%	6.17%	23.96%	5.27%	18.62%	10.44%	

^{1/} NATURAL GAS AND ELECTRICITY COSTS WEIGHTED BY POUNDS OF PRODUCT PROCESSED AT EACH PLANT.

DAIRY MARKETING BRANCH, CDFA

OCTOBER 2001

^{2/} PLANTS HAVE BEEN GROUPED ON THE BASIS OF PROGRESSIVELY INCREASING TOTAL PROCESSING COSTS.

^{3/} INCLUDES ALL GRADES OF NONFAT DRY MILK IN ALL SIZE CONTAINERS FOR VOLUME. COSTS ARE FOR 25 KG. AND 50 LB. BAGS OF NFDM ONLY.

^{4/} THESE ELEVEN PLANTS PROCESSED 100% OF THE NONFAT DRY MILK IN CALIFORNIA IN 1999.

CHEDDAR CHEESE UTILITY COSTS

FOR SELECTED PERIODS, CALIFORNIA, JANUARY 1999 TO DECEMBER 2000
NATURAL GAS & ELECTRICITY COSTS ADJUSTED FOR MARCH 2001 AND AUGUST 2001 1/

PLANT GROUPS RANKED BY	NO. OF PLANTS	PLANT UTILITY COSTS UNADJUSTED		_	PLANT UTILITY COSTS PLANT UTIL ADJUSTED - MAR. 2001 ADJUSTED			VOLUME	
 TOTAL COST 2/	IN GROUP	NAT GAS E	LECT	NAT GAS	ELECT	NAT GAS	ELECT	PROCESSED 4/5/	
			(\$	/lb.)	(\$	\$/lb.)		(lbs.)	
GROUP 1	3	0.0048	0.0038	0.0078	0.0033	0.0065	0.0048	325,954,498	
GROUP 2	3	0.0076	0.0073	0.0174	0.0069	0.0096	0.0143	223,490,274	
GROUP 3	3	0.0065	0.0084	0.0123	0.0078	0.0086	0.0138	70,600,192	
STATISTICS FOR	9 PLANTS						•	620,044,964	
SIMPLE AVERA WEIGHTED AVE	_	0.0062 0.0060	0.0063 0.0056	0.0121 0.0118	0.0059 0.0051	0.0084 0.0079	0.0107 0.0092		
 PERCENT OF TO	TAL COST	3.45%	3.22%	6.58%	2.84%	4.38%	5.11%		

^{1/} NATURAL GAS AND ELECTRICITY COSTS WEIGHTED BY POUNDS OF PRODUCT PROCESSED AT EACH PLANT.

DAIRY MARKETING BRANCH, CDFA

OCTOBER 2001

^{2/} PLANTS HAVE BEEN GROUPED ON THE BASIS OF PROGRESSIVELY INCREASING TOTAL PROCESSING COSTS.

^{3/} INCLUDES BOTH CHEDDAR AND MONTEREY JACK FOR VOLUME. UTILITY COSTS ARE FOR CHEDDAR ONLY.

^{4/} THESE NINE PLANTS PROCESSED 92.9% OF THE CHEDDAR AND MONTEREY JACK CHEESE IN CALIFORNIA IN 1999.